

**Chichester District Council**

**Planning Committee**

**Wednesday 03 March 2021**

**Report of the Director Of Planning and Environment Services**

**Schedule of Planning Appeals, Court and Policy Matters**

**Between 13-Jan-2021 and 09-Feb-2021**

This report updates Planning Committee members on current appeals and other matters. It would be of assistance if specific questions on individual cases could be directed to officers in advance of the meeting.

**Note for public viewing via Chichester District Council web site** To read each file in detail, including the full appeal decision when it is issued, click on the reference number (NB certain enforcement cases are not open for public inspection, but you will be able to see the key papers via the automatic link to the Planning Inspectorate).

\* - Committee level decision.

**1. NEW APPEALS (Lodged)**

<b>Reference/Procedure</b>	<b>Proposal</b>
<u>20/00956/FUL</u> Funtington Parish  Case Officer: William Price  <b>Informal Hearing</b>	Field West Of Beachlands Nursery Newells Lane West Ashling West Sussex - Change use of land to residential for the stationing of caravans for Gypsy Travellers including stable, associated infrastructure and development.
<u>20/01448/FUL</u> Plaistow And Ifold Parish  Case Officer: Kayleigh Taylor  <b>Written Representation</b>	Land North Of Sparrowood Farm Dunsfold Road Plaistow West Sussex RH14 0QF - Proposed agricultural barn.
<u>20/00785/FUL</u> Westbourne Parish  Case Officer: Calum Thomas  <b>Informal Hearing</b>	Meadow View Stables Monks Hill Westbourne PO10 8SX - Change of use of land for use as extension to Gypsy caravan site for the stationing of 6 additional caravans, including 3 pitches, each pitch consisting of 1 no. mobile home, 1 no. touring caravan and a utility building together with laying of hardstanding

## 2. DECISIONS MADE

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<p data-bbox="197 293 405 360"><u>20/01575/FUL</u> Earnley Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 427 475 495">Case Officer: Maria Tomlinson</p> <p data-bbox="197 521 552 555"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 293 1433 472">113 Second Avenue Almodington Earnley PO20 7LF - Erection of 2 no. semi-detached 3 bedroom bungalows - alternative to planning permission - E/18/00578/FUL for change of use to 2no. dwellings and external works, (resubmission 20/00998/FUL).</p>
<p data-bbox="549 573 1134 607"><b>Appeal Decision: APPEAL DISMISSED</b></p>	
<p data-bbox="178 618 1490 1563">"... Both schemes would result in new dwellings in a location where, notwithstanding the extant permissions, new residential development would not normally be expected or allowed. The existing dwelling set back from Second Avenue to the south-west of the site is at odds with the prevailing linear pattern of development along Second Avenue. Both appeal schemes would consolidate the residential development at the end of Second Avenue, not conform with the historic pattern of ancillary buildings deeper in the plots and thus exacerbate this uncharacteristic 'in depth' development. The use of materials sympathetic to the rural location would not be sufficient to overcome the harm arising from the incongruous siting and design of either appeal scheme. I therefore conclude that both appeal schemes would be harmful to the character and appearance of the area, particularly if the hedge was removed. Accordingly, in this respect, they would conflict with Policies 33, 47 and 48 of the Chichester Local Plan: Key Policies 2014-2029 (the Local Plan) [and] Policy 1 of the Local Plan which promotes sustainable development as advocated by the National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework). I concur that the previous approvals represent a valid fallback position. Both schemes would represent a significant reduction in footprint compared to the existing glasshouse and would be of a lesser volume than the approved scheme. ... However, both appeal schemes would be of a fundamentally different appearance and character to the approved scheme. The appellant draws my attention to a number of other permissions for the development of dwellings following a Class Q approval where an increase in height of up to 2.5 m was allowed. However, I am not confident that these are comparable to the appeal proposals in terms of their contexts. I therefore give little weight to these other approvals. In any event, I have determined each of these appeals on their own merits. I have found that both appeal schemes would conflict with the development plan, taken as a whole. There are no considerations, including the fallback position, that outweigh that conflict. Accordingly, I conclude that both Appeal A and Appeal B should be dismissed."</p>	

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<p data-bbox="196 230 459 297"><u>17/00011/CONBC</u> Funtington Parish</p> <p data-bbox="196 365 544 398">Case Officer: Tara Lang</p> <p data-bbox="196 454 456 488"><b>Informal Hearing</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 230 1453 297">Land South Of The Stables Newells Lane West Ashling West Sussex - Appeal against Enforcement Notice FU/71</p>
<p data-bbox="549 595 1134 629"><b>Appeal Decision: APPEAL DISMISSED</b></p>	
<p data-bbox="180 633 1474 1621">"... There is no dispute the chalets fall within the size limits for a caravan. But it was accepted that in the end it was their mobility that was the key. I also consider that for a unit to be moveable it must be capable of being moved without the fear of the internal walls and ceilings collapsing. But of greatest concern is that there is no evidence it could be moved at all. The whole point of mobile homes is that they are made to be mobile, as that is how they are transported to the site in the first place. In this appeal there is no evidence at all, and commonsense would suggest the units would not be mobile. Following the failure of the ground (c) appeal, it follows the appellants have in effect constructed two permanent dwellings on the site. Consequently, the two units are contrary to policy 45. Policy 33 deals with new residential development ..... As the development replaces what would have been lawful mobile home on an established site then the only issues are of design and character and appearance. Although in terms of shape the chalets are not that different from a mobile home, the simple fact is they do look quite different. The chalet units do not look like they are part of a caravan site and this adds to the sense of urbanisation of the wider site. I am particularly mindful that if I accept the two units are in conformity with the Council's policies then it will be difficult to resist the conversion of other mobile homes into permanent dwellings. In which case the overall site will gradually cease to be a caravan site at all. In my view the impact of the two chalets while not overwhelming, does add to the sense of urbanisation of the site and would set a precedent that would be difficult to resist in the future. In those senses they are contrary to policy 33. I am also concerned about the impact of the units on the Council's ability to provide for gypsy families in the future. If the mobile homes on the site become permanent dwellings they will no longer be caravans counting towards the Council's provision of gypsy accommodation.....I have found the chalets to be harmful and so contrary to policies 33 and 45 and they could also have ramifications for the Council's provision of gypsy sites. There are no real reasons why the appellant prefers the chalets to a mobile home other than convenience and cost and these do not outweigh the harm I have identified "</p>	

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<p data-bbox="196 230 485 297"><u>20/00215/CONTRV</u> Southbourne Parish</p> <p data-bbox="196 338 544 371">Case Officer: Tara Lang</p> <p data-bbox="196 412 552 445"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 230 1437 445">Plot 8 Priors Leaze Lane Hambrook Chidham West Sussex - Without planning permission, the laying and compaction of hard core and tarmac chippings over an existing (unmade) track and to create new access tracks and the formation of an earth bank in the approximate positions shown on the plan</p>
<p data-bbox="403 465 1283 495"><b>Appeal Decision: APPEAL DISMISSED - NOTICE UPHeld</b></p>	
<p data-bbox="180 510 1487 1014">... The works undertaken, namely the laying and compaction of hard core and tarmac chippings over an existing unmade track, amounts to an engineering operation that would materially affect the external appearance of what was previously said to be an unmade track. The works therefore amount to development for the purposes of section 55 of the Act. The appellant contends that the tracks are permitted development .....However, for the provision, the rearrangement or replacement of a private way or the provision of a hard surface to be permitted development, that development must be reasonably necessary for the purposes of agriculture within the unit. The appellant has provided a very limited further evidence concerning any agricultural activity or purpose within a unit that would demonstrate on the balance of probabilities that the tracks were reasonably required for the purposes of agriculture. .... I appreciate my site visit only represents a snapshot in time, there was very limited evidence of discernible agricultural activities taking place. The evidential onus rests with the appellant and he has therefore not discharged the necessary burden of proof to demonstrate his case on the balance of probabilities.</p> <p data-bbox="180 1019 1487 1787">For the ground (d) appeal to succeed the ..... latest date for the substantial completion of the tracks would need to be 20 August 2016. The evidence provided by the appellant is an aerial photograph dated 22 April 2015. This demonstrates on the balance of probabilities a length of track, which is said to have been created in 2013, but neither this aerial photograph nor assertions demonstrate on the balance of probabilities the engineering operation enforced against. It merely lends weight to the Council's position of there being a length of unmade track at the site. The tracks are finished in black tarmac chippings and therefore have a particularly urban appearance at this otherwise rural site, which is at odds with the character and appearance of the area, even though there are other tracks accessed from along the Lane. It therefore does not represent good design or reflect its local context owing to its length and use of materials. Furthermore, the earth bank appears as an alien feature given the length and height, on a tract of land that is otherwise relatively flat and open. The developments are therefore not well related to an existing farmstead or group of buildings and do not have minimal impact on the landscape and rural character of the area. The appellant states that the tracks are essential to provide access to the land for future viable uses that require a rural location, although I then have only limited evidence concerning any future uses. .... the tracks and earth bank are nevertheless not developments that meet an essential, small scale and local need, based upon the evidence before me conclude that the developments are harmful to the character and appearance of the area in contravention of Policies 1, 2 and 45 of the adopted Chichester Local Plan: Key Policies 2014-2029..."</p>	

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<p data-bbox="196 230 451 297"><u>20/00046/PA3Q</u> Sidlesham Parish</p> <p data-bbox="196 365 584 398">Case Officer: William Price</p> <p data-bbox="196 454 555 488"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 230 1449 342">Land North Of 66 Street End Lane Sidlesham Chichester West Sussex PO20 7RG - Change of use of 2 no. agricultural buildings to 2 no. dwellings (Class C3).</p>

**Appeal Decision: APPEAL ALLOWED**

"The appeal is allowed and approval is granted under the provisions of Schedule 2, Part 3, Class Q of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended) (GPDO) for the conversion of two agricultural buildings into two dwellinghouses. Located on the western side of Street End Lane, towards its northern end at 66 Street End Lane, Sidlesham, PO20 7RG in accordance with the terms of the Application Ref SI/20/00046/PA3Q, dated 8 January 2020, and the details submitted with it. ... The main issues are whether the proposal would be permitted development under Schedule 2, Part 3, Class Q of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended) ('GPDO'), with particular regard to whether the extent of the proposed works to the building go beyond those permitted under Class Q, and the effect of the proposal on the Pagham Harbour Special Protection Area. Class Q of the GPDO allows for a change of use of a building and any land within its curtilage from an agricultural use to a use falling within Class C3 (dwellinghouses) and building operations reasonably necessary to convert the building. However, Class Q does not allow for the extensive rebuilding of an insubstantial structure to create what would in effect be a new building. Planning Practice Guidance provides further clarification in this regard. It states that it is not the intention of the permitted development right to allow rebuilding work which would go beyond what is reasonably necessary for the conversion of the building to residential use. It is therefore only where the existing building is already suitable for conversion to residential use that the building would be considered to have the permitted development right. The units comprise single storey structures largely of timber framed constructions and under corrugated asbestos pitched roofing. Unit A is part timber framed and part load bearing block, the external wall cladding of both units is tempered board. The ground floor of each unit is of concrete construction forming part of a raft foundation. The roof of each unit features two principle timber trusses which are well triangulated and support roof cladding via timber purlins, all appear to have performed adequately to date, and upon my inspection both buildings appear suitable for conversion. I note that the structural engineer report refers to the possibility of reviewing the comparative weights of new and existing roof claddings, however this would be for the purposes of confirming purlin provisions, there is no suggestion that the roof structure would be inadequate or that replacement purlins would in fact be necessary. Wall and roof cladding replacement would be carried out however the National Planning Practice Guidance sets out that a Class Q conversion can include the installation or replacement of windows, doors, roofs, and exterior walls. In this case, the extent of the proposed works would not be so substantial so as to constitute a rebuild rather than a conversion. In coming to that view, I have been mindful of the High Court Judgement in the case of Hibbitt v SSCLG (2016) EWHC (Admin). ... For the above reasons, I conclude that the extent of the proposed works to the buildings do not go beyond those permitted under Class Q. ... For the reasons given above I conclude that the appeal should be allowed and prior approval is deemed to be granted."

### 3. IN PROGRESS

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<p><u>17/00356/CONMHC</u> Birdham Parish</p> <p>Case Officer: Shona Archer</p> <p><b>Informal Hearing</b> <b>08/06/2021</b> Chichester District Council East Pallant House PO19 1TY</p>	<p>Plot 12 Land North West Of Premier Business Park Birdham Road Appledram West Sussex - Without planning permission, change of use of the Land to the storage of a caravan and a highway maintenance vehicle used for white line painting.</p> <p>Linked to <u>17/00361/CONMHC</u> and <u>17/00362/CONMHC</u></p>
<p><u>17/00361/CONMHC</u> Birdham Parish</p> <p>Case Officer: Shona Archer</p> <p><b>Informal Hearing</b> <b>08/06/2021 10:00:00</b> Chichester District Council East Pallant House PO19 1TY</p>	<p>Plot 13 Land North West Of Premier Business Park Birdham Road Appledram West Sussex - Without planning permission, change of use of the Land to the storage of a caravan and a diesel fuel oil tank.</p> <p>Linked to <u>17/00356/CONMHC</u> and <u>17/00362/CONMHC</u></p>
<p><u>17/00362/CONMHC</u> Birdham Parish</p> <p>Case Officer: Shona Archer</p> <p><b>Informal Hearing</b> <b>08/06/2021 10:00:00</b> Chichester District Council East Pallant House PO19 1TY</p>	<p>Plot 14 Land North West Of Premier Business Park Birdham Road Appledram West Sussex - Without planning permission change of use of the land to use as a residential caravan site.</p> <p>Linked <u>17/00356/CONMHC</u> and <u>17/00361/CONMHC</u></p>

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<p><u>19/02493/OUT</u> Earnley Parish</p> <p>Case Officer: Jeremy Bushell</p> <p><b>Informal Hearing</b></p>	<p>Earnley Concourse Clappers Lane Earnley Chichester West Sussex PO20 7JN - Outline planning application with all matters except Access reserved. Demolition of Earnley Concourse buildings, Elm Lodge, Gate Cottage and the Ranch House and replacement with residential development of up to 32 no. dwellings with associated access and footway works, landscaping, open space and drainage infrastructure</p>
<p>* <u>19/00431/AGR</u> East Wittering And Bracklesham Parish</p> <p>Case Officer: Martin Mew</p> <p><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p>Hundredsteddle Farm Hundredsteddle Lane Hundredsteddle Lane Chichester West Sussex PO20 7BL - Grain store and machinery store.</p>
<p><u>20/01639/FUL</u> East Wittering And Bracklesham Parish</p> <p>Case Officer: Maria Tomlinson</p> <p><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p>Land Adjacent To Neska Longlands Road East Wittering Chichester West Sussex PO20 8DD - Demolition of existing outbuilding and erection of 2-bedroom chalet bungalow.</p>
<p><u>20/02001/FUL</u> East Wittering And Bracklesham Parish</p> <p>Case Officer: Vicki Baker</p> <p><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p>Land South Of Tranjoeen Bracklesham Lane Bracklesham Bay West Sussex PO20 7JE - New access to the highway.</p>
<p><u>20/02002/DOM</u> East Wittering And Bracklesham Parish</p> <p>Case Officer: Vicki Baker</p> <p><b>Householder Appeal</b></p>	<p>Hazard Cottage East Bracklesham Drive Bracklesham PO20 8JH - Raise roof of garage for an additional bedroom (amendments to previous permission 15/03025/FUL).</p>

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<p data-bbox="197 230 448 297"><u>18/00323/CONHI</u> Funtington Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 365 552 398">Case Officer: Sue Payne</p> <p data-bbox="197 461 552 495"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 230 1442 338">West Stoke Farm House Downs Road West Stoke Funtington Chichester West Sussex PO18 9BQ - Appeal against HH/22</p>
<p data-bbox="180 544 448 611">* <u>19/00445/FUL</u> Funtington Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 678 560 712">Case Officer: Martin Mew</p> <p data-bbox="197 775 552 808"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 544 1474 763">Land South East Of Tower View Nursery West Ashling Road Hambrook Funtington West Sussex - Relocation of 2 no. existing travelling show people plots plus provision of hard standing for the storage and maintenance of equipment and machinery, 6 no. new pitches for gypsies and travellers including retention of hard standing.</p>
<p data-bbox="197 857 448 925"><u>20/01726/FUL</u> Funtington Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 992 475 1059">Case Officer: Maria Tomlinson</p> <p data-bbox="197 1088 552 1122"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 857 1453 1010">Stockers Farm Salthill Road Fishbourne PO19 3PY - Replacement dwelling, detached car barn and associated works (alternative proposal to extant permission FU/19/02841/FUL).</p>
<p data-bbox="197 1171 427 1238"><u>19/01400/FUL</u> Loxwood Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 1305 580 1339">Case Officer: William Price</p> <p data-bbox="197 1402 552 1435"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 1171 1417 1323">Moore's Cottage Loxwood Road Alfold Bars Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0QS - Erection of a detached dwelling following demolition of free-standing garage.</p>
<p data-bbox="197 1485 400 1552"><u>19/01951/FUL</u> Oving Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 1619 616 1653">Case Officer: Jeremy Bushell</p> <p data-bbox="197 1715 456 1749"><b>Informal Hearing</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 1485 1485 1682">Land At The Corner Of Oving Road And A27 Chichester PO20 2AG - Erection of 143 dwellings, with associated access, parking, public open space, landscaping, extension to residential curtilages of existing properties along Oving Road and other associated works.</p>

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<p data-bbox="197 230 555 300"><u>19/02903/FUL</u> Plaistow And Ifold Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 365 580 394">Case Officer: William Price</p> <p data-bbox="197 459 552 488"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 230 1481 371">Plot 1B Land At Sparrwood Farm Shillinglee Road Plaistow West Sussex - Erection of stable barn and (25m x 50m) manege including fencing, solar photovoltaic panels and muck heap.</p>
<p data-bbox="197 598 555 667"><u>20/01045/FUL</u> Plaistow And Ifold Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 732 616 761">Case Officer: Rebecca Perris</p> <p data-bbox="197 826 552 855"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 598 1474 667">Moyana The Drive Ifold Loxwood RH14 0TD - Erection of 1 no. dwelling house.</p>
<p data-bbox="197 965 408 1034"><u>20/01071/OUT</u> Selsey Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 1099 612 1128">Case Officer: Calum Thomas</p> <p data-bbox="197 1193 552 1223"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 965 1469 1070">Land At Ursula Avenue Selsey West Sussex PO20 0HT - Outline planning permission all matters reserved - erection of 2 no. bungalows.</p>
<p data-bbox="197 1276 405 1346"><u>20/02466/PLD</u> Selsey Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 1411 475 1480">Case Officer: Maria Tomlinson</p> <p data-bbox="197 1509 552 1538"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 1276 1453 1382">Ashbourne Residential Home Byways Selsey Chichester West Sussex PO20 0HY - Use as a single dwelling within Use Class C3.</p>
<p data-bbox="197 1594 459 1664"><u>19/00259/CONBC</u> Sidlesham Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 1729 606 1758">Case Officer: Emma Kierans</p> <p data-bbox="197 1823 453 1892"><b>Informal Hearing</b> <b>07/09/2021</b></p> <p data-bbox="197 1912 574 2011">Chichester District Council East Pallant House PO19 1TY</p>	<p data-bbox="639 1594 1485 1700">Field South Of Green Lane Piggeries Ham Road Sidlesham West Sussex - Appeal against non-compliance with the conditions of the appeal decision - temporary occupancy</p>

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<p data-bbox="196 230 451 297"><u>20/01021/FUL</u> Sidlesham Parish</p> <p data-bbox="196 365 555 398">Case Officer: Vicki Baker</p> <p data-bbox="196 461 549 495"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 230 1481 338">4 Cow Lane Sidlesham PO20 7LN - Demolition of an existing agricultural storage building and the construction of 1 no. 3 bed 1.5 storey dwelling, ancillary to main dwelling.</p>
<p data-bbox="196 548 451 616"><u>20/01418/FUL</u> Sidlesham Parish</p> <p data-bbox="196 683 612 716">Case Officer: Calum Thomas</p> <p data-bbox="196 779 549 813"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 548 1369 616">Longreach 14A Chalk Lane Sidlesham PO20 7LW - Replacement B1/B8 unit.</p>
<p data-bbox="196 866 485 934"><u>20/01053/FUL</u> Southbourne Parish</p> <p data-bbox="196 1001 612 1034">Case Officer: Calum Thomas</p> <p data-bbox="196 1097 549 1131"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 866 1433 934">Mountain Ash 106 Main Road Southbourne PO10 8AY - Erection of 1 no chalet bungalow.</p>
<p data-bbox="196 1184 485 1252"><u>20/02077/FUL</u> Southbourne Parish</p> <p data-bbox="196 1319 475 1386">Case Officer: Maria Tomlinson</p> <p data-bbox="196 1417 549 1451"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 1184 1394 1330">Marina Farm Thorney Road Southbourne Emsworth Hampshire PO10 8BZ - Redevelopment of previously developed land. Removal of existing 5 no. buildings. Proposed 1 no. dwelling.</p>
<p data-bbox="196 1503 512 1570"><u>16/00251/CONBC</u> West Wittering Parish</p> <p data-bbox="196 1637 584 1671">Case Officer: Steven Pattie</p> <p data-bbox="196 1733 549 1767"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 1503 1481 1648">Land East Of Brook House Pound Road West Wittering Chichester West Sussex PO20 8AJ - Appeal against breach of condition 2 to 13/02676/DOM - use of outbuilding subject to Enforcement Notice WW/49.</p>

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<p data-bbox="197 230 512 300"><u>19/02136/FUL</u> West Wittering Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 365 475 434">Case Officer: Maria Tomlinson</p> <p data-bbox="197 459 552 495"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 230 1469 371">Land East Of Brook House Pound Road West Wittering Chichester West Sussex PO20 8AJ - Construction of 1 no. boat house with ground floor storage ancillary to first floor self-contained residential unit.</p>
<p data-bbox="197 553 512 622"><u>20/01200/FUL</u> West Wittering Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 687 475 757">Case Officer: Maria Tomlinson</p> <p data-bbox="197 781 552 817"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 553 1369 658">Seahaven West Strand West Wittering PO20 8AU - Demolition of existing dwelling and construction of replacement dwelling.</p>
<p data-bbox="197 875 485 945"><u>13/00163/CONWST</u> Westbourne Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 1010 592 1046">Case Officer: Shona Archer</p> <p data-bbox="197 1111 411 1180"><b>Public Inquiry</b> <b>27/04/2021</b></p> <p data-bbox="197 1193 536 1299">Chichester City Council North Street Chichester PO19 1LQ</p>	<p data-bbox="639 875 1465 981">The Old Army Camp Cemetery Lane Woodmancote Westbourne West Sussex - Appeal against WE/40, WE/41 and WE/42</p>
<p data-bbox="197 1366 475 1435"><u>19/03206/FUL</u> Westbourne Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 1500 603 1536">Case Officer: Jane Thatcher</p> <p data-bbox="197 1601 552 1637"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 1366 1449 1507">Unit 2, Ten Acres Cemetery Lane Woodmancote Westbourne PO10 8RZ - Change of use of site for B8 storage of privately owned and commercial vehicles, with ancillary offices and stores. (retrospective).</p>
<p data-bbox="197 1695 475 1765"><u>20/00366/FUL</u> Westbourne Parish</p> <p data-bbox="197 1830 475 1899">Case Officer: Maria Tomlinson</p> <p data-bbox="197 1924 552 1960"><b>Written Representation</b></p>	<p data-bbox="639 1695 1453 1800">Woodmancote Meadow Woodmancote Lane Westbourne West Sussex PO10 8RF - Erection of a 1 no. 3 bedroom dwelling.</p>

#### 4. VARIATIONS TO SECTION 106 AGREEMENTS

#### 5. CALLED-IN APPLICATIONS

Reference	Proposal	Stage

#### 6. COURT AND OTHER MATTERS

Injunctions		
Site	Breach	Stage
Land West of Birdham Farm, Birdham Road	Of 4 Enforcement Notices	Injunction granted by the High Court on 10/8/20 to: A) stop occupation of the land for residential use; B) remove all caravans; C) do not carry out any development without prior permission of the Council; D) clear & restore the land to its agricultural use. Deadlines in the above Injunction extended by Order of 7/1/21 because of Covid lockdown to 31/3/21 for A) & B) above and to 30/4/21 for D) above.

Court Hearings		
Site	Matter	Stage

Prosecutions		
Site	Breach	Stage
Land South of The Stables, Hambrook	Of Enforcement Notice	Original First hearing adjourned by the court due to Covid restrictions to 30/7/21 at Brighton Magistrates' Court. All papers served on the Defendant.
West Ashling Road, West Ashling	Of Condition Notice	Original First hearing adjourned by the court due to Covid restrictions to 30/7/21 at Brighton Magistrates' Court. All papers served on the Defendant.
Land West of Newells Lane, West Ashling	Of Temporary Stop Notice	Original First hearing adjourned by the court due to Covid restrictions to 17/6/21 at Crawley Magistrates' Court. All papers served on the Defendant.

#### 7. POLICY MATTERS